

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10

11 ROBERT SCHWERIN, II,

No. CIV-S-02-0096 MCE/GGH P

12 Petitioner,

13 v.

ORDER

14 MICHAEL KNOWLES, ET AL.,

15 Respondents.  
16 \_\_\_\_\_/

17 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed  
18 this application for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28  
19 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States  
20 Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local  
21 General Order No. 262.

22 On July 5, 2005, the magistrate judge filed findings and  
23 recommendations herein which were served on all parties and which  
24 contained notice to all parties that any objections to the  
25 findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days.

26 ///

1 On August 16, 2005, petitioner was granted a thirty day  
2 extension, in which to file and serve his objections. Petitioner  
3 has filed objections to the findings and recommendations.

4 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C.  
5 § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 72-304, this court has conducted a  
6 de novo review of this case.

7 Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court finds the  
8 findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by  
9 proper analysis.

10 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

11 1. The findings and recommendations filed July 5, 2005, are  
12 adopted in full; and

13 2. Petitioner's application for a writ of habeas corpus is  
14 denied.

15 DATED: November 2, 2005

16  
17  
18 

19 MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR.  
20 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26